Course Description:

Has disease altered history? How have different societies, at different times, responded to disease prevention and outbreaks? This course focuses on the role of disease in history, examining how disease swept through cities, devastated populations, and transformed politics, public health and economies. Spanning the globe and ranging in time period from antiquity to present day, this course surveys medicine and society’s experience with, understanding of, and response to specific diseases, such as the plague, leprosy, cholera, smallpox, tuberculosis, influenza, HIV-AIDS and SARS. Several historical approaches will be emphasized in this course, including disease as a causative agent of change, disease as a mirror reflecting social processes, and disease as a way of illustrating theories and practices concerning health and medicine. Issues of class, race, gender, ethnicity, and sexuality will certainly be discussed.

Lectures will focus not just on a narrative account of events, but will also consider historical interpretation, contrasting points of view, as well as methods and theories used by historians to gather and evaluate historical evidence. How do historians know what they know about the past? Students will be encouraged to investigate history through a variety of primary sources throughout this course.

This course is open to all students. History students, students planning to major in science or medicine, or other students in the social sciences, health sciences, or arts and humanities are welcome. Students do not need a background in science, medicine or even history to take this survey course.

Learning Outcomes:

By the end of this course, students should be able:

- To appreciate the role played by disease in shaping the past;
- To understand that society’s understanding and responses to specific diseases are shaped by place and time;
- To understand some of the methodologies and approaches involved in investigating disease in history;
- To analyze critically various source materials – both primary and secondary sources – from which to understand disease in history;
- To formulate and communicate an effective argument, demonstrating good research and writing skills;
- To use (possibly) historical analysis to discover useful perspectives on the continuing challenges of disease in our world today.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Lecture Topic</th>
<th>Textbook Reading</th>
<th>Online Discussion</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Fall Term</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sep 18</td>
<td>Ancient Egyptian and Greek Ideas about Disease</td>
<td>Chapter 1</td>
<td>(1) Disease Ideas: Lovesickness as a Disease?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sep 25</td>
<td>Plague in the Ancient World</td>
<td>Chapter 1</td>
<td>(2) Galen and Emperor Marcus Aurelius: Changing Medical Practice?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oct 02</td>
<td>Disease in the Middle Ages: Medicine and Faith</td>
<td>Chapter 2</td>
<td>(3) The Medieval Physician: Complementary or Contradicting Images?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oct 09</td>
<td><strong>No class meeting</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oct 16</td>
<td>The Black Death in Europe and the Middle East, 1347-1350</td>
<td>Chapter 3</td>
<td>(4) The Flagellant Movement: Devout Christians or Outright Heretics?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oct 23</td>
<td>Disease of Exclusion: Leprosy and Lepers</td>
<td>Chapter 2</td>
<td>(5) Japanese Leprosy Control Policies: Necessary or Stigmatizing?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oct 30</td>
<td>New World Peoples, Old World Diseases, 1492-1650</td>
<td>Chapter 4</td>
<td>(6) Importing Disease: How Best to Control the Exchange of Pathogens?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nov 06</td>
<td>New Diseases in Europe: Syphilis, Typhus and the Sweating Sickness * Essay Assignment due</td>
<td>Chapter 4</td>
<td>(7) The Sweating Sickness of 1485: A New Disease?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nov 20</td>
<td><strong>Midterm Test</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nov 27</td>
<td>Disease and the Enlightenment: Science, Smallpox and Scurvy</td>
<td>Chapters 5, 6</td>
<td>(9) The Human Genome Project: Science at its Best or Super Problem?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dec 04</td>
<td>Disease and the Body: Challenge and Change</td>
<td>Chapters 5, 6</td>
<td>(10) Dream Anatomy vs. Anatomical Reality: The Effect of Illustrated Anatomy?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Winter Term</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jan 8</td>
<td>Library Exercise: <em>Building a Preliminary Bibliography for History of Disease Research Paper</em></td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jan 15</td>
<td>Disease and Imperialism: Tropical Diseases</td>
<td>Chapter 9</td>
<td>(11) The Fight Against Malaria: Science Over Nature?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jan 22</td>
<td>Cholera and Sanitary Reform</td>
<td>Chapter 7</td>
<td>(12) Haiti’s Cholera Outbreak: A Humanitarian Disaster?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jan 29</td>
<td>Tuberculosis and Poverty</td>
<td>Chapter 8</td>
<td>(13) Understanding Tuberculosis: The Role of the Arts?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Feb 05</td>
<td>Coercion and Resistance: Plague in India</td>
<td>Ch 9, pp.198-201</td>
<td>(14) Plague in India: A Medical Dilemma or Cultural Clash?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Feb 12</td>
<td>Venereal Disease: Medical and Policy Changes</td>
<td>Ch 11, pp.259-265</td>
<td>(15) Venereal Disease and Women: A Provocative Public Health Campaign?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Feb 19</td>
<td><strong>Reading Week</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Feb 26</td>
<td>Killer Flu: The Influenza Pandemic of 1918</td>
<td>Ch 11, pp.273-279</td>
<td>(16) Influenza of 1918 and 2009: Was History Repeating Itself?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mar 05</td>
<td>Influenza Epidemics and Pandemics after 1918 * Research Paper due</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>(17) The Influenza Vaccine and the World Health Organization: Why the Controversy?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mar 12</td>
<td>Controlling Infectious Diseases: Vaccines and the Public Debate</td>
<td>Ch 10, pp. 234-242; Ch 12, pp. 283-295; Ch 11, pp. 266-273</td>
<td>(18) The Vaccine War: Beyond Medical Risks vs. Benefits?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mar 19</td>
<td>The End of Infectious Diseases? The Antibiotic Revolution</td>
<td>Ch 11, pp. 253-259</td>
<td>(19) &quot;When Antibiotics Stop Working:&quot; Government Regulation Needed?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mar 26</td>
<td>HIV-AIDS and Global Implications</td>
<td>Ch 12, pp.295-313</td>
<td>(20) Fighting HIV-AIDS in Africa: Government or Cultural Barriers?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Apr 02</td>
<td>SARS: Making History or Repeating History?</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Research Paper due*
Course Texts:


OWL:

This course utilizes the new OWL (powered by Sakai) at [https://owl.uwo.ca/portal](https://owl.uwo.ca/portal). Students need to access this site to participate in the online discussion, to view course grades, and to download relevant course material, including PowerPoint lecture slides and assignment questions. Make sure you are able to access and participate in the course site. For students experiencing problems, please contact the Help Desk at ITRC (Instructional Technology Resource Centre) on campus at 519-661-2111 Ext. 85513 or online at [http://www.uwo.ca/its/itrc/](http://www.uwo.ca/its/itrc/)

Course Evaluation:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Assignment</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
<th>Due Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Online Discussions (20 topics)</td>
<td>15%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Short Essay Assignment (4-6 pp)</td>
<td>15%</td>
<td>Nov 6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Midterm Test</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td>Nov 20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>History of Disease Research Paper (8-10 pp)</td>
<td>25%</td>
<td>Mar 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Final Exam</td>
<td>25%</td>
<td>April</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Online Discussions:

Online student discussion will be based on discussion topics posted by the professor to the OWL course site. Topics related to course themes and lectures will be posted for a limited time (approximately 1 week) for online class discussion. Only one topic will be open for comment at a time; new topics will replace the older ones. The onus is on the student to participate in this online discussion. Students are to post their own position and/or commentary to the topic and are encouraged to respond to the comments of other students as well.

These discussion postings will be marked qualitatively, based on thoughtfulness, originality, ability to interact with other postings, and ability to relate to course themes. Students may post multiple comments on any one topic, demonstrating their reading and engagement with the online discussion. So comment on your peers’ responses! Note that redundant comments however will not earn marks. Also any online postings on the discussion board construed as “flaming” or inappropriate will automatically result in a zero participation grade for that student, and may be brought to the attention of the Department Chair for possible further action.
**Short Essay Assignment** (4-6 pp) – Students are asked to submit a short essay that answers one (not both) of the following questions:

- **Question #1** based on *The Speckled Monster* by Jennifer Lee Carrell –

  According to Jennifer Lee Carrell in her book entitled *The Speckled Monster: A Historical Tale of Battling Smallpox* (New York: Dutton, 2003), how was the smallpox inoculation controversy in London, England and Boston, Massachusetts in the early 18th century similar and/or different, and what was the significance of the debate?

  *(Hint: Consider the specific locations of London versus Boston, the people, disease ideas and culture that shaped the debate over vaccination.)*

  **OR**

- **Question #2** based on *The Ghost Map* by Stephen Johnson –

  According to Stephen Johnson in his book entitled *The Ghost Map*, why was John Snow’s causation theory of the 1854 cholera outbreak in London so contentious? How did change happen, and how important was the role played by specific individuals?

  *(Hint: Consider the people, disease ideas and social structures involved in this negotiation for change.)*

A handout regarding this assignment for each question will be posted on the OWL course site.

**History of Disease Research Paper** (8-10 pp) – Students will write a history essay on the impact of a particular disease in history. The assignment is open to meet the interests of the students. Students do not need to feel restricted by time period or place, but they do need to address the question of impact and/or influence of a particular disease on a particular group of people at some specific time and place.

A handout regarding this assignment will be posted on the OWL course site, and will include a list of possible essay questions. In consultation with the instructor, students may also choose a disease topic not on this list.

**Submitting Your Assignments ---**

**Turnitin.com** – ‘All required papers may be subject to submission for textual similarity review to the commercial plagiarism detection software under license to the University for the detection of plagiarism. All papers submitted for such checking will be included as source documents in the reference database for the purpose of detecting plagiarism of papers subsequently submitted to the system. Use of the service is subject to the licensing agreement, currently between The University of Western Ontario and Turnitin.com (http://www.turnitin.com).’

You must submit a paper copy of your work (both the short essay assignment AND the history of disease research paper) in class on the due date. In addition, you must submit an identical electronic copy to Turnitin.com which is available via the OWL coursepage.

Please note that failure to submit your essay to turnitin.com will result in a 0 grade, even if a paper copy has been submitted. The submission date of the paper copy of your essay (not the turnitin.com date) will determine if a late penalty will be applied or not.
**Plagiarism** – Scholastic offenses are taken seriously and students are directed to read the appropriate policy, specifically, the definition of what constitutes a Scholastic Offence, at the following web site:

http://www.uwo.ca/univsec/handbook/appeals/scholastic_discipline_undergrad.pdf

**Late Penalties** – Penalty for late essays is 2% each day after the due date (excluding Saturdays and Sundays). Essays are to be handed to the professor in class or to History Department’s Essay Drop-Off Box in the hallway outside the History Office located at Lawson Hall 2201. Essays in the Drop-Off Box will be collected at the end of the business day to be date stamped (be aware of office hours). Faxed and emailed essays are not acceptable. Essays slipped under professor’s office door are also not acceptable. Extensions may be granted if legitimate circumstances are presented by the student to the professor well in advance of the due date. Poor work planning (such as “I have XX other papers due”) is not grounds for an extension.

Please note that after 7 days (1 week past due date), the assignment will not be accepted.

Students needing accommodation should visit the Social Science Academic Counseling Office, Social Science Centre, Rooms 2105, 2114.

For medical accommodation, please see the Policy on Medical Illness posted on the UWO Students Services website at: https://studentservices.uwo.ca/secure/index.cfm

**Midterm Test** (90 minutes In-class) – See “What do I study?” slides on course OWL page

The midterm test will take place in class. Students are responsible for course material covered in lectures, assignments and online discussions up to the date of the midterm test. The midterm test will consist of two parts.

Part I – Identification and Significance -- will consist of identification and significance questions taken from the list of terms, events and names provided on each class lecture outline.

Part II – Short Answer Essay – will consist of short answer essay questions based on material covered in the class lectures.

**Final Exam** (2 hours in April exam period) – See “What do I study?” slides on course OWL page

The final exam will take place in the April exam period as set by the Registrar’s Office. Students are responsible for course material covered since the midterm. The final exam will consist of three parts.

Part I – Identification of Terms/Events/People -- will consist of identification and significance questions taken from the list of terms, events and names provided on each class lecture outline.

Part II – Short Answer Essay – will consist of short answer essay questions based on material covered in the class lectures.

Part III – Long Answer Essay -- will consist of identification and significance questions taken from the list of terms, events and names provided on each class lecture outline.
Grading of a History Essay: What Does Each Grade Mean?*

Essays are graded according to content (what is said) and style (how it is said). Points in content include a familiarity with the material, the incorporation of examples to illustrate or support the argument, and identification and analysis of the issues. Points in style include basic grammar and spelling. Style also includes sentence and paragraph structure, vocabulary, and organization. Organization includes a strong introduction, a clear thesis, a logical organization of the points to be argued, and an eloquent conclusion.

Descriptive remarks of each grade level assigned to essays have been compiled below.

A Grade Paper

A paper of this level displays a solid understanding of the information and the context in which it is presented. It demonstrates reflection and thought, expressed fluently, and written with a style distinguished by its freshness and clarity. The argument is sound, substantive, organized, and uses the sources effectively. One is impressed by the author's engagement with the topic, the compelling issues, and their significance and implications therein.

B Grade Paper

The author demonstrates a substantial knowledge of the information and context associated with the subject. The paper is well-written and presented with no serious flaws, a good use of sources and a clear thesis. The argument is above average in organization and analysis and brings in points to support the thesis. The conclusion is fine but could be more compelling or forceful. Generally, the paper is competent but not extraordinary.

C Grade Paper

The author demonstrates an acceptable grasp of the material and awareness of the sources. The organization is logical and the style follows proper form, although there may be some lapses in each aspect. The paper would be best described as descriptive because it lacks any substantial analysis, and demonstrates a modest ability to work with the material critically. One senses the author does not fully understand the issues of the subject because the ideas are superficial, undeveloped, and/or tend to stray from the subject. The argument needs to be tighter, and the writing strengthened through editing.

D Grade Paper

The author shows a familiarity with the subject, but not an understanding of it. He or she lacks the writing or communication skill to intelligibly relate what knowledge has been comprehended. The paper is disorganized, lacks structure, and the ideas are undeveloped. There is no evidence of substantial thought or reflection.

F Grade Paper

The author is without any writing skill. Grammar and spelling errors dominate and disguise the lack of organization. The ideas are unrelated to the subject and reveal a complete misunderstanding of the task.

SUPPORT SERVICES
Students who are in emotional/mental distress should refer to Mental Health@Western [http://www.uwo.ca/uwocom/mentalhealth/] for a complete list of options about how to obtain help.

Please contact the course instructor if you require material in an alternate format or if you require any other arrangements to make this course more accessible to you. You may also wish to contact Services for Students with Disabilities (SSD) at 661-2111 x 82147 for any specific question regarding an accommodation.

THE UNIVERSITY OF WESTERN ONTARIO
FACULTY OF SOCIAL SCIENCE

PLAGIARISM

Students must write their essays and assignments in their own words. Whenever students take an idea, or a passage from another author, they must acknowledge their debt both by using quotation marks where appropriate and by proper referencing such as footnotes or citations. Plagiarism is a major academic offense (see Scholastic Offence Policy in the Western Academic Calendar).

All required papers may be subject to submission for textual similarity review to the commercial plagiarism detection software under license to the University for the detection of plagiarism. All papers submitted will be included as source documents in the reference database for the purpose of detecting plagiarism of papers subsequently submitted to the system. Use of the service is subject to the licensing agreement, currently between The University of Western Ontario and Turnitin.com (http://www.turnitin.com).

The following rules pertain to the acknowledgements necessary in academic papers.

A. In using another writer's words, you must both place the words in quotation marks and acknowledge that the words are those of another writer.

You are plagiarizing if you use a sequence of words, a sentence or a paragraph taken from other writers without acknowledging them to be theirs. Acknowledgement is indicated either by (1) mentioning the author and work from which the words are borrowed in the text of your paper; or by (2) placing a footnote number at the end of the quotation in your text, and including a correspondingly numbered footnote at the bottom of the page (or in a separate reference section at the end of your essay). This footnote should indicate author, title of the work, place and date of Publication and page number. Method (2) given above is usually preferable for academic essays because it provides the reader with more information about your sources and leaves your text uncluttered with parenthetical and tangential references. In either case words taken from another author must be enclosed in quotation marks or set off from your text by single spacing and indentation in such a way that they cannot be mistaken for your own words. Note that you cannot avoid indicating quotation simply by changing a word or phrase in a sentence or paragraph which is not your own.

B. In adopting other writer's ideas, you must acknowledge that they are theirs.

You are plagiarizing if you adopt, summarize, or paraphrase other writers' trains of argument, ideas or sequences of ideas without acknowledging their authorship according to the method...
of acknowledgement given in 'At above. Since the words are your own, they need not be enclosed in quotation marks. Be certain, however, that the words you use are entirely your own; where you must use words or phrases from your source; these should be enclosed in quotation marks, as in 'A' above.

Clearly, it is possible for you to formulate arguments or ideas independently of another writer who has expounded the same ideas, and whom you have not read. Where you got your ideas is the important consideration here. Do not be afraid to present an argument or idea without acknowledgement to another writer, if you have arrived at it entirely independently. Acknowledge it if you have derived it from a source outside your own thinking on the subject.

In short, use of acknowledgements and, when necessary, quotation marks is necessary to distinguish clearly between what is yours and what is not. Since the rules have been explained to you, if you fail to make this distinction, your instructor very likely will do so for you, and they will be forced to regard your omission as intentional literary theft. Plagiarism is a serious offence which may result in a student's receiving an 'F' in a course or, in extreme cases, in their suspension from the University.

MEDICAL ACCOMMODATION

The University recognizes that a student’s ability to meet his/her academic responsibilities may, on occasion, be impaired by medical illness. Please go to https://studentservices.uwo.ca/secure/medical_accommodations_link_for_OOR.pdf to read about the University’s policy on medical accommodation. Please go to http://www.uwo.ca/univsec/handbook/appeals/medicalform.pdf to download the necessary form. In the event of illness, you should contact Academic Counselling as soon as possible. The Academic Counsellors will determine, in consultation with the student, whether or not accommodation is warranted. They will subsequently contact the instructors in the relevant courses about the accommodation. Once a decision has been made about accommodation, the student should contact his/her instructors to determine a new due date for term tests, assignments, and exams.

If you have any further questions or concerns please contact, Rebecca Dashford, Undergraduate Program Advisor, Department of History, 519-661-2111 x84962 or rdashfo@uwo.ca